

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. F0937-US 10/776,187 02/12/2004 Toshio Masuda 3078 **EXAMINER** 21254 7590 02/23/2006 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC ADAMS, GREGORY W 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 200** VIENNA, VA 22182-3817 3652

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/776,187	MASUDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory W. Adams	3652		

	Oregory VV. Adams	3002	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ing date of the final reject	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			•
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	••	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	eiected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,00101 010111101	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(*
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-13.			
Claim(s) rejected: 7.2 and 42.5. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	No(s)	
	/		
	EI	LEEN D. LILLIS	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: With respect to claim 1 sliders having transfer guide grooves and a rotary connecting portion require further consideration and/or search..